

What We Want

Our policies come from deep principle, reflecting the universal laws of nature and love. Some may seem extreme, but we feel all are the logical outgrowth of wisdom and justice. Uniting all our positions is the self-defence of Ireland and the Irish, that we may thrive. Above all, we seek to shift political conversation toward the true sources of our sufferings; thus one need not agree with all our positions to embrace the wisdom of boosting our voice.

NO

1) No to the hate speech bill

- Absolute freedom of speech must be preserved
 - We already have laws to protect life, liberty and property; that is enough
 - Free speech is the engine of learning, innovation and healthy democracy
 - Truth almost always outcompetes falsehood, persuading most listeners; and so at large and over time truth rises to dominance in a free market; only falsehood needs censorship, and often claims to be truth to justify it
 - If you believe you are right, you should be able to prove it with logic in open debate, or at least convince most observers; if you cannot, how can you be sure you are not confused and I in truth who you think you are? Censorship is 'might is right', while free speech is liberty, love and trust
 - If one does not trust the population at large to judge truth from error, one is thereby confessing to not believing in democratic government, as the whole point of democracy is that the majority view reflects reason; and yet the same voices regularly accuse free speech advocates of this
 - Never in history were the censors the good guys, but rather always the villains; so why would one think that today it would be any different?
 - It can be very uncomfortable to hear views that contradict the basis of our beliefs and behaviour – it can even feel like an attack on our very existence, threatening our sense of self with annihilation – so we must all be ever vigilant in self-honesty to notice why we are resisting an idea; is it really because it makes no sense, or is it because we want it to be so?
- No to the Digital Services Act – or UK Online Safety Act – on the same grounds

2) No to the WHO pandemic treaty

- The UN and its WHO subdivision have proved they cannot be trusted
 - Their Covid lockdowns and experimental injections were disastrous
 - They have a long, sordid history of exploitation of the third world
 - This includes medical experimentation without informed consent, including intentional sterilisation of African women
 - Their Pandemic Treaty is a power grab and also risks spreading diseases
 - Seeks the power to mandate lockdowns, vaccines, gene therapies
 - Would allow WHO to control the supply of medicines in Ireland
 - Mandates gathering dangerous substances from across the globe, allegedly for safety but in effect risking spreading untold disease

- Deeply influenced by and beholden to the Chinese Communist Party
- WHO head was elected despite evidence of war crimes and corruption
- Until further notice, we must assume their aims are elitist global control
- Experience and logic agree that the traditional operation of science and medicine are best: practitioners investigate autonomously and share their results such that a decentralised learning network develops, identifying useful tools and strategies for dealing with any incoming novel health threat; no censorship, no centre, no dogma

3) No to mass immigration

- Enforce the Dublin III regulations: asylum seekers assessed at first safe country
 - Unless rowing across the Atlantic Ocean, this is unlikely to be Ireland
 - This EU agreement began to be bent when northern European nations did nothing to support southern nations in coping with asylum seekers; thus to ensure that Spain, Italy, Greece, etc. work with us, an agreement may need to be negotiated for providing some amount of funds to help them manage
 - Due to cost of living and exchange rates, for every migrant supported in Ireland many more can be supported in nearby states such as Turkey; so deals could be negotiated to send migrants to such countries for asylum
 - This would deter economic migrants from exploiting the system, which is only fair to the Irish taxpayers suffering this debt burden
 - Genuine refugees would find a safe haven, free from persecution
 - The number of refugees able to be helped would be much higher
 - Host countries would gain a new source of income, and influence
- Invoke our Opt-Out (as Denmark has) to refuse new EU immigrant intake policy
 - We are rare in the EU in having a choice here; yet our state fails to use it
- Send back anyone arriving at Dublin Airport without a passport
 - It requires a passport to get on a plane, so the only reason for not having one upon arrival is the destruction of documents so as to abuse the system; such individuals should not be welcomed but turned back or prosecuted so as to deter similar criminal activity by others; then this exploitation will soon stop
- Deport any newcomer to Ireland who either
 - seriously breaks the law;
 - Minor driving offences etc. should not be cause for deportation
 - does not have a valid visa;
 - Allowing a reasonable grace period to correct accidental errors
 - has their asylum claim turned down
 - Appeals can still be lodged but should be conducted while the applicant is outside Ireland; they can correspond from abroad, so that no one is tempted to abuse the system by dragging things out
 - Naturalised Irish citizens who commit serious crimes should be deported, provided they retain a prior citizenship and would not be in danger there
- Call an immediate halt to even legal immigration, until our situation is stabilised
 - If necessary, a compromise could be to limit numbers to current levels
 - Tourists, students and temporary workers would be unaffected; this is merely to halt the granting of additional citizenships by naturalisation
 - Perhaps also to halt the granting of long-term residency permits
 - It may, however, be reasonable to continue allowing naturalizations for valuable professional workers in industries with serious native shortages

- This is fair given the pressure on our border, communities and culture
- It will take pressure off the housing market, schools, hospitals & prisons
- It will help stop the massive tragic tide of young Irish people emigrating
- It will prove to the EU that we are sovereign and able to control borders
- It will help reduce anti-immigrant feeling among frustrated native Irish
 - to help keep the peace and allow Ireland to think logically
 - to be fair to the hardworking immigrants who joined us years ago
 - Such people are often very unhappy with mass immigration
- It will help the 20% of Ireland who are foreigner-born to integrate culturally
 - Immigrants should rightly adapt and integrate into local culture
 - For fundamental conflicts, native culture should remain the norm
 - E.g. liberty, women's rights, gay rights, religious tolerance
 - For non-fundamentals, our cultures should coexist and co-inspire
 - E.g. cuisine, work ethic, fashion, loudness of speech, hobbies
 - In particular, it is crucial we preserve our high standards placed on public servants to serve honestly; many cultures are desensitised to corruption and fail to feel outrage when they see it, thus we must ensure immigrants to Ireland do not let the the state lower this bar
 - If there is too much immigration, it will be not only tempting to not integrate, but even inevitable; for Irishness will be a vague concept
- For separated families, those in Ireland are free to return home to reunite
 - Or relatives overseas can gain skills Ireland direly needs and apply
- For those already in the immigration process, their application should be
 - cancelled, if such is on balance not cruel or unjust to the individual
 - Any fees already paid should then be reimbursed by the state
 - allowed to proceed, if cancelling the process would be an injustice
- Irish citizens should be encouraged and incentivised to return home to live
- Members of the Irish diaspora are free to continue claiming citizenship
- In addition to the strain on Irish resources and essential services, mass immigration strikes most Irish as unjust given our history of demographic replacement by rulers who cared not for our sovereignty; the Plantations under the British Empire are not identical to what the EU dictocrats and their Irish underlings are forcing on us now, but it is very similar: in both cases the scheme was against the wishes of the locals, for the benefit of powerful offshore institutions, and the folk on the ground settling Ireland were usually normal people naturally taking an opportunity for a better life
 - Under this analysis, one can decry the current mass immigration policy as a new Plantation and yet also affirm the human rights and respect due to the people coming here; it is not they who are in the wrong, but Irish lawmakers and the EU and NGO forces who inexplicably seek to repeat this on Ireland

4) No to non-Irish voting in Irish elections

- British citizens should continue to enjoy their limited voting rights in Ireland
- All other non-citizen residents must not be allowed to vote in local elections
 - Our ancestors fought too hard for our sovereignty for it to be given away
 - This is such a radical change that only a referendum could have justified it
 - Even lovely people from abroad may have wildly un-Irish political views
 - We cannot risk governments using handout-immigration to gain voters

5) No to making the Irish people homeless or slaves to mortgages and rents

- Homelessness is in many cases due to mental illness and addiction (causing one to sacrifice all sources of money and stability in order to keep using the chosen drug); but the fact that thousands are now homeless, including whole families, points to a terrible change: the supply of homes versus demand for homes has fallen off a cliff
 - This is the main reason a majority of young Irish are considering emigrating
 - The tents now ubiquitous in Irish cities sully the atmosphere with indignity
 - It is partly explained by the sudden upsurge of immigration; more demand
 - BlackRock and Vanguard, the largest asset management firms in the world, have begun buying up millions of family homes planet-wide, pushing out regular homebuyers with last-minute higher offers paid in cash up front; these (neo-feudal) corporate landlords then set the rent as high as possible
 - It is natural for these entities to seek profit, but we must not let our people become a rent farm and lose ownership of our native soil
 - Given the tax-breaks such giants enjoy (often from Ireland itself) and the high tax rate on the average Irish person, fair competition here is impossible; unless taxes are equalised or protections given
 - Wealthier families may have multiple homes, but this was also true before the crisis; as was the tendency of modern Irish not to live twelve to a room
 - The explosion of the AirBnB economy may be contributing to the shortage, as Irish and foreigners alike buy properties to rent out short-term to tourists; but other European nations have the same economy and yet suffer no crisis
 - On the mental health side, mindfulness training (meditation and lifestyle) should be advertised to the homeless and provided free to those who seek it; while community groups and gardens can be supported to ground lost souls
 - Government could investigate the possibility of establishing urban farms or workshops where homeless people can live on-site in exchange for working
- Ultimately, the housing industry has been destroyed by government intervention
 - Recently this has been radically worsened by the decisions to prioritise new arrivals from Ukraine (and to a lesser extent, other countries) over the Irish
 - Verifiable anecdotes abound of foreign arrivals being immediately housed when countless native Irish languish on state housing lists
 - Landlords need pay no tax on revenue if they house Ukrainians; surely resulting in even small landlords ejecting Irish tenants so as to boost income in this time of unprecedented financial pressure
 - The government is paying hotels ungodly sums of taxpayer money to house migrants, many of whom are not refugees fleeing danger but economic migrants answering our politicians' offers of benefits
 - The government publicised this deal in multiple languages
 - Many such hotels are owned by politically-connected elites, and enjoy guaranteed income without need for good service
 - Once provided residency documentation, such newcomers can vote in local elections; e.g. for the hand that feeds them
 - The Irish tourism industry, shattered by lockdowns, is losing billions of euros as the full-to-capacity hotels no longer have guests with disposable income to spend in the local economy
 - However, the Irish government has been hobbling the housing industry for much longer than this, via a historically-illiterate policy of central planning
 - We do not trust the government to make our phones, clothes, cars and household appliances – the experience of Communism made

it clear these cannot compete with free market products – and yet somehow we imagine the state might be talented at making houses

- The government’s track record on housing is not impressive: taking a long time and a lot of money to produce insufficient supply of homes which are in any case low-quality and ugly
- Whenever in history an industry is placed under the management and guidance of bureaucrats, the public suffers higher prices and lower quality in the goods and services available; whereas true free markets have never failed to solve problems of supply and demand
 - ‘Price signals’ allow a business to know the optimal role it can play in the broader economy, even without knowing why the given role is helpful; e.g. a carpenter notices the demand for ceiling beams has increased such that current supply is now insufficient, and so raises his prices and invests the profits in hiring a contractor to produce more beams to cash in quickly, which restores supply and thus lowers demand, returning prices to where they had been and ensuring houses get built
 - This is a simplification but shows the essential point
 - When countless such roles are played by individuals seeking to profit personally by giving people what is in demand, the economy-wide satisfaction of the society’s needs is achieved almost as if coordinated intentionally, and yet it is centreless, organised by the natural network-effects of self-interest; such computational power cannot be replicated via centralisation
 - Quality control in free markets comes from the requirement in any exchange to provide the other party with something of greater value to them than what you are asking them to give; if their product is worth more than the money you can offer, they will simply refuse to trade, and vice versa; thus without any central authority intervening, only mutually beneficial exchanges are facilitated, and with bad deals being roughly as rare as stupidity, overall the economy functions productively
 - The money required for staff salaries, office space, overheads, and the contractors and consultants to enact programs on the ground, comes from the taxpayer and so the economy itself; this reduces the pool of capital available for investment in the kinds of productive supply-boosting activity described above
 - Money is taken from the individual by direct taxation but also by inflation (printing money) and borrowing; the former reduces the purchasing power of savings (thus a hidden tax); the latter requires taxpayers to pay off the debt in the future and its interest burden now
 - Having thus exacerbated every problem, the government sets to work using its newly acquired money to fix our problems, but due to the inferiority of even the most intelligent expert against the computation power of an economy-sized network the state allocates resources in a less productive manner than would have occurred had they remained in private hands; it takes from us here and gives back there, much of this money spent running the bureaucracy or lost to corrupt officials and what is left achieving more poorly what we can do ourselves

- In sectors of the economy distorted by central planning, such as housing, even the private provision of goods and services is harmed by state intervention: businesses have less demand to cater to (as the state is providing things ‘free’ to many people), they have fewer resources (due to paying for such ‘free’ things via their taxes), and those businesses which do not invest time and money in cultivating political connections suffer against those who do, with big players funding parties and candidates who might reciprocate by introducing favourable regulations (or granting lucrative contracts) which allow them to outgrow non-political businesses and thus gain market dominance via economies of scale, price wars, and luring staff via pay-raises
 - None of this benefits customers; rather it raises prices and lowers the quality of products, as businesses now have the unnatural option of pleasing the state instead
 - The home-building industry is full of regulations that seem unnecessary and illogical unless their aim were to make it so complicated and expensive to build that only the biggest businesses could survive; then thrive
 - Cronyism may also explain the otherwise inexplicable decision to continue filling hotels with asylum seekers; it is very bad for the middle class, but not big business
- The long-term solution to our housing crisis is to remove government involvement in housing, but it will take some years for the free market to heal the damage done by socialistic state distortions and provide Ireland a plentiful supply of quality and affordable homes; thus a careful transition is required from the current system of central planning to one of natural free enterprise with minimal, sensible regulation
 - To begin this process, we call for rapid reform of home-building regulations to allow non-controversial developments and renovations to be accelerated; as well as minimisation of the obstacles to entry so smaller builders can get into the home-building industry, including assistance with legal compliance
 - Part of this could be making it easier for carpenters, draughtsmen, electricians, bricklayers, etc. to gain their required qualifications
 - We call for the state to provide temporary programs to help Irish families purchase a home; perhaps providing half of the capital for the mortgage, if the homebuyers can prove they can afford their half; or acting as guarantor
 - This involve risk of profiteering and defaults, but is preferable to leaving the Irish to wait out the long process of economic recovery
 - We call for the state to seek ways to temporarily boost assistance for renters
 - This could be via increases to the eligibility for HAP, or its value
 - Long-term, such payments are part of the problem of government interference, but in the process of rectifying it some support is wise
 - Similarly, current laws mandating maximum rents are unhelpful (and unjust to the property owners) and as soon as possible such distorting state intervention should be ended; then rents will fall over time, as supply and demand set prices that optimise housing
 - We advocate the completion of such state housing as is currently underway but further developments should be left to the free market; the same money can be made by developers so there is no incentive not to build; however the incentive not to waste resources or drag out timelines will now be increased

and the taxes previously levied to pay for such constructions shall stay with the taxpayer, allowing each to better afford saving up for a home or renting

- We call for the replacement of open border policies with a strictly enforced process for judging asylum claims; but in the meantime, while we still have to contend with vast numbers of such people, we advocate (as a temporary measure) allowing the ubiquitous empty office buildings in Ireland to be converted into basic accommodation for refugees, to return hotels to use by the general population and restoring a pleasant atmosphere to those areas, and allowing the current state housing supply to be devoted to our citizens
 - Long-term residents must be treated fairly but Irish are the priority
 - If needed, the state should negotiate with the owners of such office blocks to allow Irish citizens on the state housing list to make their temporary home in dignified but basic 'apartments' there arranged
- Longer-term, the inefficiency of the state housing system must be addressed: tenants paying one-tenth the average rent is not fair to the rest of Ireland as social housing is still part of the overall supply of homes in the country (paid for by the taxpayer with money earned from hard work in the real economy) and if these homes were released from government onto the free market the laws of supply and demand would kick in and everyone in private housing would pay a lower rent; only those now in social housing would pay more. Once this is understood, given the seriousness of our situation, the majority of those on taxpayer-provided housing are sure to be supportive of reform
 - Given the pressures government has put Ireland under, the people who depend on social housing should continue to be so supported but sooner or later the state involvement in housing must be ended
 - A gradual transition is wisest: in the first round, homes occupied by people on a comfortable income are sold at auction (to individuals resident in Ireland) with clauses allowing the tenant to remain for the next, say, four years, paying the current rent; the second round repeats this process but for those on a lower income; and the final round repeats the process but for those depending on the state for their income due to disability, unemployment, retirement, etc.
 - Determining the income cutoffs would be controversial but doable
 - Bidders on the homes could potentially be limited to one purchase
 - The timeline could perhaps be to have the rounds two years apart, so that four years after launch all social housing has been sold off to the highest bidder, and eight years from launch all new owners can ask the tenants to pay a higher rent, or move in themselves, as each individual so chooses; and our housing economy will thrive, as homes go to those willing to pay and should the supply dwindle due to increased demand, the money to be made will boost supply again and the cycle repeats, just as it did for the previous millennia
 - The proceeds from the sale of so many homes could be used to pay for the outlined temporary government programs to boost housing; encouraging builders, financing mortgages, supporting renters, etc.
- We advocate large tax reductions across the board, but especially to income tax and VAT so that regular people will have money to purchase homes and pay their rent instead of needing to beg from the state, paid for by scrapping wasteful government spending (e.g. lazy bureaucrats and political NGOs); a good first step is to set income tax at the 12.5% rate corporations enjoy, but abolition of income tax should eventually follow as we regain independence

6) No to using Climate Change to dismantle our economy and strip us of our freedoms

- No to anti-farming policies, such as killing 200,000 cattle in the name of kindness
 - Even if this were helpful, the scale at which developing nations are moving in the opposite direction with fossil fuels makes it an utterly futile exercise; as well as posing a threat to our economic and thus political independence
 - No to restricting the use of fertilisers
 - But encouraging research into organic fertilisers is recommended, such as Korean Natural Farming, which some claim to be scaleable
 - No to any other EU-imposed limits on productivity or profitability of farms
- Long-term, encourage farming by
 - minimising red tape and taxation, maximising free-market capitalism
 - educating the community about the success of regenerative agriculture
 - This is threefold: productivity, profitability, ecology
 - e.g. Polyphase Farms and White Oaks Pastures, in USA
 - Done right, animal agriculture is a carbon sink, as well as building up the topsoil, thus enriching ecosystems and increasing rainfall
- A great many climate experts say there is no emergency; it is not our top priority
 - Temperatures and sea levels have not risen substantially, despite claims
 - Climate changes naturally (e.g. the five Ice Ages) and our input is minimal
 - Computer models predicting doom have been repeatedly proven wrong
 - Pollution, overfishing, reef bleaching, deforestation are higher priorities
 - The planet does not need our deindustrialisation; it needs more capitalism to pull further billions out of poverty (as it has been doing very successfully) and so allow all humans the resources to care about and preserve ecology
 - ESG scores and Green laws penalising carbon emissions are anti-ecology
- These experts are censored or demonised by the corporate press and governments
 - Research is expensive and harder to get funds if one resists the narrative
 - Scientists who speak out are often socially ostracised from their peers
 - Yet the UN's IPCC reports themselves do not say there is an emergency
 - Media corporations are censoring, because embedded in the climate cult
 - Out of desire for access to politically-connected no-bid contracts
 - Carbon trading schemes, green energy subsidies, etc.
 - Out of desire for power and control; as seems likely the main aim
 - Out of an ideological instinct, a mass formation mob mentality
 - Globalist NGOs such as WEF, Bildeburg, CFR use climate fear to control
 - These collectivist institutions are populated by many billionaires
 - They have a supremacist ideology of being an intellectual elite
 - Their publications state an intention to centralise global control
 - The actions of governments proves the globalists hold the power
 - Ireland with immigration, Canada with the truckers, etc.
 - The globally coordinated response to Covid, Ukraine, etc.
- Experts say the best way is to use cheap energy to raise the world out of poverty
 - As we get wealthier, our concern for our broader environment expands
 - We naturally start trying to clean up the rivers, the air, etc. once we are able
 - Insanely, current policies do the total reverse, causing more ecological harm
 - However, this self-sabotage is restricted to the aloof western world; China, India and other BRICS nations are paying it only lip service, e.g. building coal-fired power plants that dwarf any Irish impact

7) No to eroding military neutrality

- We have more than enough problems domestically, so must not seek foreign ones
- American military-industrial complex is guilty of countless crimes we must avoid
 - This war-for-profit machine exerts control over NATO, influencing the EU
 - NATO's illegal attacks on Yugoslavia and Libya illustrate what the motive is; Russia may be governed by gangsters, but that does not mean NATO is not
 - There is a good chance the same powerful families and business networks who profited off Irish subjugation under Britain are currently stockholders in BlackRock and Vanguard, who themselves own US arms contractors like Lockheed and Raytheon, who make untold billions from starting wars and expanding NATO membership (whose standardised equipment they sell); thus Ireland has extra reason to steer well clear of such entanglements
- Neutrality allows us to play a peacekeeping and mediating role for other nations
- Involvement in foreign wars is a waste of precious resources and costs Irish lives
- We must invest in redeveloping national defence; above all, navy and coastguard
- We should offer and incentivise voluntary national guard training, just in case
 - This could instill discipline, confidence and autonomy in young people
 - This would also benefit public health, both physical and mental
 - This would disincentivise potential future predation by foreign powers
 - With martial arts in the training, street crime may well be disincentivised
- We must encourage peace and dialogue amid the escalating possibility of WWII
 - It is in everyone's interests if we can publicly call for peace talks in Ukraine, Gaza, Yemen, Sudan and Syria; enough children are now dead or orphaned
 - Ireland has a favourable reputation internationally, so this may be noticed

8) No to future lockdowns, vaccine mandates or vaccine passports

- Pass legislation forbidding any repeat of the curtailing of liberty for public health
- Launch an independent inquiry into the lockdowns, injections and like policies
 - Educate the public, politicians and bureaucrats as our knowledge evolves
 - Begin by announcing that masks harmed us, handwashing did nothing, and deaths are up 15%, seemingly due to heart inflammation from the injections
- Prosecute any individual or group suspected of wrongdoing; defund institutions
 - Offer amnesty to any whistleblowers who reveal significant wrongdoing
- Provide small businesses provably bankrupted by lockdowns assistance to reopen
- Provide children with group and solo therapy sessions to heal their Covid trauma
- Long-term, launch inquiry into safety and efficacy of all vaccines and medicines
 - given evidence of widespread criminality in the pharmaceutical industry
 - as current regulators seemingly have a conflict of interest with industry
 - even so, any that can be proven safe and effective must never be mandated

9) No to a cashless society, digital ID and social credit score

- Using cash is liberty; it must be a right, ideally protected as part of a Bill of Rights
 - E-payments are wonderfully convenient but must not be the only option
 - As seen in China (and Canada with the trucker protests) surveillance and control of digital money allows the state to freeze or limit the use of one's funds so as to deter and punish its citizens for any behaviour it does not like: attending a protest, meeting a dissident, minority opinions, or anything else
- Digital ID must not be introduced at least until we reclaim the state from tyranny

- Chinese-style social credit scores (formal or not) are Orwellian and not welcome
 - In the Irish context, the likeliest threat here is an extension of the current Environmental Social Governance (ESG) system asserting its control over business and finance: if one eats too much meat, drives too much, uses too much energy, fails to use the right pronouns or talking points, fails to take a vaccine, etc., one's access to social amenities may be restricted or removed
 - We saw a potential version of this with Covid restrictions allowing travel, access to restaurants, and social respectability only to those who acquiesced to the state's insistence on a medical intervention

io) No to importing identity politics into our classrooms, boardrooms and public offices

- We are a noble people still subliminally traumatised by genocide; thus innocent
- We Irish endured more discrimination than most throughout recent centuries
- The Irish were everywhere fighting for freedom and equality around the world
- We have welcomed countless foreigners into our home; though there are limits
- All that matters is the content of one's character, not the colour of one's skin
- It is racism to say someone is bad or must feel guilty because their skin is pale
- It is racism to say we lack enough people with darker skin; what of the reverse?

ii) No to indoctrinating children into transgenderism

- Though many have not thought the topic through, the in-vogue theory is insane
 - However, it operates much like a religion, so critics are shunned for their blasphemy, excommunicated from polite society, or burnt at the stake of modern cancel culture; thus many intellectuals who would usually sound the alarm are failing to do so, for fear of jeopardising their name and career
- Teaching a falsehood is wrong in itself, but this particular falsehood makes growing up more difficult (as if this were needed), thus must be swiftly brought into the light
- Our bodies are built on XX or XY chromosomes; there are thus only two sexes
 - Intersex is vanishingly rare, so does not affect general questions of identity
- Our personalities range between masculine and feminine; manly or womanly
 - This is not evidence of the existence of three (or seventy-two) genders; it merely shows the diversity of behaviour within male and female humans
 - There are benefits to a variety of perspectives and behaviour patterns, but obsessing over their differences and making identities of them is unhealthy
 - One's balance of yin to yang does not determine one's gender/sex
 - The body is XX or XY, which powerfully affects mind/personality, but feminine men and masculine women are a significant minority
 - Men being manly and women womanly is in general uplifting for society
 - Children thus get reassuringly simple guidelines to grow up upon
 - Though they are free to improvise more as they develop
 - Children actually thrive on structure; they are not adults
 - Bad men will always exist; good men are needed to control them
 - If the Nazis arose today, would there be enough masculine men to go to war and risk life and limb to protect freedom?
 - Feminine women ground us to emotional intelligence, joy, love
 - It is far from 'feminist' to demand women act just like men, and sexist to assume a mother is less successful than a CEO
 - Society needs a sustainable birth rate; so heterosexual connection

- Masculine men are most attractive (most women prefer them) as are feminine women (most men prefer them); relationships are thus encouraged by society accepting traditional sex roles, and even celebrating the wise embodiment of our yin or yang, which in turn yields a healthy birth rate, thus societal balance
 - It is important to accept and understand homosexuality, but there is a good reason why heterosexuality should be valued more, and it has nothing to do with hatred or ignorance but rather the understanding of its necessity for society to exist, whereas a lack of homosexuality will not put an end to society
 - One has the right to enjoy consensual sexuality as one sees fit, but it is natural and sensible for society to set heterosexuality as the norm; both for its survival and given most humans are heterosexual even when society celebrates alternatives more
 - Overpopulation is a myth; humanity is set to shrink over the next decades, but this is for now obscured by longer lifespans
 - More children means more grandchildren to be cherished; thus elderly and youth alike prosper with a healthy birth rate
 - However, a minority of men being feminine (and vice versa) is also enriching to society, as it injects more perspective into our world; but we can respect such people without obsessing over their rights or demonising the right of traditional gender roles to be preferred
- Most who think all this through will conclude there are only men and women
 - Therefore our public institutions (paid by taxes) must reflect this norm
 - E.g. Schools should not be instructing or allowing teachers to list their 'preferred pronouns' when greeting children, and having the children themselves do so; just as we do not allow a Scientologist teacher to ask the children what spirits might live in their bodies
 - Individuals must be free to feel and declare themselves 'trans' if so desired, but it is too far to say that the majority must validate what we regard as false
 - We should treat such people with respect and empathy, even if we disagree; but they should treat us with the same respect and accept our right to our beliefs; labelling those who disagree with you 'transphobic' is intolerance
 - Private homes, businesses and schools are free to mandate trans-belief as a condition of entry; but taxpayer-funded institutions and their programs, as well as most private homes, businesses and schools, will reflect our beliefs
 - Research shows many 'trans' children will grow up to be gay, while many others will grow out of the phase to become heterosexual males and females without gender dysphoria; in light of this, it is terribly negligent to validate a child's confusion about identity (understandable though it is in these times) and rather we should be encouraging our children to be themselves, to grow through experiences, to not overthink who they are but just live in the now, and in the fullness of time they will be an adult able to grapple with identity
 - Such negligence reaches madness when children are prescribed puberty-blocking drugs and parenthood-preventing surgery, as countless have been, with irreversible results deeply regretted by many grown-up victims of such adult abstention from duty of care
- The controversy over transgenderism is a distraction from more pressing issues
 - Serious though it is, we have far more dangerous problems; whose creators want us busy putting out smaller fires and arguing amongst ourselves

- Ultimately, we have a male or female body but our soul is neither; we are spirit, or consciousness, the One life; that which is beyond words and thought, only able to be experienced firsthand by exiting the stream of concepts that flows through our heads; this is where the true self lives and trans activists are right that it is not male or female, but fail to see that the soul is interfacing with a binary biological body
 - For more on the self, 'The Power of Now' by Eckhart Tolle is recommended

12) No to Central Banks and their government partners stealing our money via inflation

- Inflation is 100% under government control; it is simply printing more money
 - 'price inflation' (numbers getting bigger on price-tags) is the inevitable and wholly predictable result of 'monetary' or true inflation; government central banks increase the money supply and the extra euros quickly bid up prices
- Inflation thus makes it easier for governments to pay their bills and start projects, but it is terrible for the individual and thus society, because it is a tax on savings
 - Worse, the proceeds of this hidden tax are redistributed back into society mostly through channels operated or owned by the politically connected; via construction projects, infrastructure management, research, grants, etc. so that not all suffer the tax equally, but rather most those with least power
- If the ECB add 10% more money, the value of your money goes down by 10%
 - This is the core reason why the cost of living is going up; hidden tax hikes
 - Direct taxes would cause a revolt, but borrowing and inflation are subtle
- The Irish regaining control of our money supply is necessary for true sovereignty
 - Whether intentional or not, the central banking policies which determine so much of our economic life are currently set in the interests of very wealthy individuals and corporations, most of whom have no connection to Ireland; but once the policies are reversed so as to uplift anyone who works hard and solves problems on the open market, broad prosperity will begin blooming
 - The Central Bank of Ireland (perhaps the ECB) requires immediate radical reform to ensure it no longer encourages economic inequality; no amount of effort in the private sector can ultimately do it until this root cause is solved
 - Being part of the Eurosystem, this is a long-term goal requiring the ECB initiate EU-wide reforms, or else that Ireland replace the Euro with its own currency, and be like Denmark, Czech Republic, etc.
 - The central bank must STOP: inflating the money supply, interfering in free market setting of interest rates, stifling startups in the banking industry, and granting taxpayer-funded bailouts to struggling companies or organisations
 - The central bank must START: ensuring sound money (ideally by bringing back the gold standard), prosecuting fraud (as was exposed by the GFC), and reaching out to central banks worldwide advocating they adopt like policies
 - As for issuing a new, uninflatable currency, Ireland has three good options:
 - The Irish state/central bank issues currency backed by gold or else another tangible asset or group thereof (e.g. basket of commodities)
 - Ireland has a complete separation of money and state, encouraging various currencies to compete for market share, letting individuals decide what 'currency' they will accept and use; free market forces in time see the most reliable grow dominant, be it issued by a bank, another large company, a co-operative or a prominent individual
 - Ireland has a mix of the first two options, allowing the free market to generate currencies (notes, coins and digital) but uses the central bank to reward the most reliable currencies with official branding

- Some authors claim central banks are a kind of cartel, intentionally building up a neo-aristocracy whose rule is hidden in layers of complexity; however, it is best not to get distracted by such questions of motivation, because it is enough to know that the policies themselves are harmful to the economy. We cannot get inside their heads, so should not divide the room needlessly but rather build consensus on the need for immediate and radical reform
- This is a long-term goal, as it makes corporatist domination near impossible and will likely require other victories for global consciousness first be won; we should expect this change to come last but start working on it right now
- Returning our economy to sound money will make life easier, freer and wealthier
 - This will go a long way to encouraging young Irish people to stay in Ireland; likewise, many emigrated Irish people will be incentivised to return home
 - By proving the benefits of sound money, Ireland will become a global leader and meaningfully contribute to the economic liberation of countless nations
 - Without the burden of the vampire on our neck, small businesses will thrive and our villages, towns and cities will flourish like they haven't in a century
 - Borrowing may be temporarily more expensive, but saving much easier; and in time these savings will build up and make borrowing cheaper again, since the more money held by a bank, the less risky it is to lend it out to borrowers
 - Uninflatable money will also force the government to stay small and honest
- The collapse of crony capitalism in Ireland will cost some political/financial elites (individuals, families, companies) great power and profit; but overall they will gain
 - Those who live in Ireland will be living in a society of greater happiness, less poverty, more abundance, beauty, wisdom, sophistication and opportunity
 - Such elite subcultures will be encouraged toward humanity and wellness
 - Big business will have to play the game of genuine competition to succeed
 - Only supplying the best quality good for the lowest price will win
 - This is more difficult than political cronyism but more rewarding
 - Anyone intentionally working against the Irish people for private gain will no longer need to live a double life, but can discover their true authenticity as their powerful ambition will only be able to find satisfaction in helping us
 - Those elites paving the road to hell with good intentions will finally be able to achieve the societal service they have always sought; but now successfully

YES

1) Yes to lowering taxes, regulations and government spending by 80% within a decade

- Everything the government has it has because the citizenry produced it privately
 - A simple proof of this is that the state provided nothing at all to the taxpayer until the early 20th century yet economic growth existed throughout history; and indeed the state relied on taxing this natural human productivity when it began redistributing our private resources as the welfare state was born
 - It is also true that the smaller a government is (the less tax it levies to pay for its bureaucracy and programs) the more vibrant is the economy and culture
- Government only causes problems when it gets involved in the economy
 - It takes our wealth with one hand and gives it back with the other, but in the process wastes resources on running its bureaucracy (as well as corruption), only to produce goods and services of lower quality and higher price, for it lacks the natural discipline of competition and the threat of bankruptcy
 - We would not trust the state to produce our phones, cars or clothes, so why on earth would we think that we ourselves could not do better with things as vital as education, healthcare, public transport, infrastructure and housing?
 - We will all – but especially the poor – be far better off if the government ceases to provide its mediocre services and lets us keep the money it was collecting in taxes to pay for them; and instead we use our money to buy what we need on the free market, whose competition ensures top value
 - Ireland is not suffering from too much free-market capitalism, but too little; the real culprit is socialism, in its crony corporate capitalism form, where big business lobbies to balloon the size of the state and feed off proximity, milking its expenditures and guiding its regulations to crush competition; decentralised free enterprise must replace central planning
 - We cannot merely cut taxation and regulation; we must also shrink state spending, otherwise our debt will grow and private enterprise will lack the customers to grow their market share providing what we want/need
- To maintain order and not cause a knee-jerk suspicion of free-market capitalism it is necessary to transition from central planning to free markets gradually; we can publicly announce a clear timeline for when particular taxes, regulations and types of government spending/services will be terminated or reduced, to ensure everyone is prepared to adjust accordingly; this will allow economic growth to begin building
 - It is likely that there would need to be a certain lag in the winding up of government services, happening later in the schedule than the phasing out of taxes and red tape; this is because it will take some time for the private sector to organise its own schools, clinics, hospitals, transport, etc. and these being essential to daily life, the cost of building up some debt with lingering state-funded services is worth the price for stability

2) Yes to renegotiating our relationship with the European Union and its corporate owners

- It has long been evident that we are in an abusive relationship and must take action
 - The Irish in the 1970s voted to enter a trade network with fellow Europeans; if they had known Brussels would become the new London rule, they would not have disgraced their forebears with surrendering our sacred sovereignty
 - Ordering us to take in migrants, limit our farming capacity, submit to self-contradictory dogma about identity, climate and geopolitics; these are not the actions of partners, but of masters with no respect

- Proof that the EU is owned by corporate cartels is provided by themselves: their policies always benefit big business and hurt the middle class; this was made crystal clear by the GFC bailouts for banks and austerity for taxpayers
 - Would negligence and fraud by middle-class banks be treated so?
 - If allowed to fail, the banks would have taken their bad debts with them and within a few years real growth would have returned as the market adjusted; instead the EU and IMF made the rich richer while plunging the innocent majority into debt and bankruptcy
- The contract as amended by the EU is void if we do not consent; we do not
- We fought long and hard to be free, and the EU now treats us like servants; the majority of the Irish will, once the situation is clearly communicated, be in agreement that we can and should – must – leave this toxic relationship
- This being said, it is possible for us to remain in the EU on a healthy basis, but this will only come to pass if we show we are seriously willing to leave, no matter what
 - The essence of such a deal must be to expand our ‘Opt-outs’ beyond merely immigration; to be universal, so we have the right to differ from any EU law
 - Thus our sole condition for not leaving the EU (which might well embolden disaffected former Eastern bloc countries such as Hungary to follow suit) is that we flip the current paradigm: instead of EU law superceding national law in case of conflict, now national law will supercede EU law if in conflict
 - This respects the democratic will of member states and provides balance to the top-heavy centralising autocratic elite tendency of the EU bureaucracy
- Nonetheless, long-term it seems wise to prepare for Ireland charting our own course as a sovereign democratic European nation, like Switzerland or Iceland
 - A major reason for this is that the EU is nowadays largely an amalgam of corporate cartels conglomerating into ever denser structures and using the power of regulation under the guise of forward-thinking futurism to steal market share from middle-class businesses and assert social control over the diverse communities of member states; creeping technocratic neo-feudalism
 - Thus it is unlikely the powers-that-be behind the respectable public face of the EU will ever agree to something as absurd as allowing Irish sovereignty and would undermine and infiltrate any such movements we might initiate; in preparation for this, we must genuinely plan an independent path, but in the meantime assert ourselves within the EU, just in case it can be reformed
 - If enough pressure arises within and without, the EU may become what it claims to be and most of its lower and mid-level managers surely believe it is; if so, our lives will be made easier and Ireland can gratefully exist as a sovereign element of a fair union of nations

3) Yes to an Irish Bill of Rights to empower the individual against government overreach

- As the USA has to protect its citizens from abuses of power by its government
- Ours might differ in the details but should be identical in being unconditional
- In case of conflict, priority and authority should go to items higher up the list
- Likely candidates for such a Bill of Rights, or we could say Natural Liberties, are
 - The right to protection of one’s life, liberty and property
 - Both self-defence and defence the state must supply to all equally
 - The right to free speech
 - Including offensive, hateful, inaccurate or deceptive speech
 - Not only because this can teach us various lessons, but for it is impossible to reach consensus on the definition of the above,

and the option of centralising this to any power poses a threat far greater than any possible through these vices themselves; tyranny is worse than offence, hate, inaccuracy and deception and wittingly or not, censorship is the foundation of tyranny

- As long as it respects the 1st Liberty, as stated above about priority
- The right to free assembly
 - Including for public protest
- The right to free movement
- The right to govern one's health
- The right to govern one's family affairs
- The right to practise one's religion
- The right to communicate with the state through Gaelige or English
- The right to enjoy sexuality between consenting adults as one so chooses
- The right to ignore any law which contravenes these Natural Liberties
- More controversial additions to this list, which Gaelic Awakening supports, are
 - The right to alter one's consciousness
 - This would include the use of psychedelic and recreational drugs
 - Once again, this is conditional upon not infringing the 1st Liberty
 - We can either *decriminalize* (use and possession) or *legalize*, which means also allowing the sale of drugs, under taxation/regulation
 - Quality can be regulated, proof of age required, Garda resources spent on crimes with victims, Garda corruption disincentivised, taxes collected for investment in health and education services
 - We might think it undesirable to 'encourage' non-alcoholic drug use, but in fact the war on drugs encourages the worst aspects of drug use, whereas legalisation with careful education saves lives
 - This is already how we handle tobacco, alcohol and sugar
 - The right to bear arms
 - Guns get very bad press, but surely save more lives than they take
 - Illegalising firearms only disarms the good people; criminals buy black market guns and use them on a powerless citizenry
 - In rural areas, police can take too long to help an emergency
 - Police use guns to arrest murderers to prevent further deaths
 - A well-armed army is essential to protecting national borders; liberal democracies thus use guns to maintain spaces of safety
 - Mass shooters are almost always users of anti-psychotic drugs whereas before these appeared, no mass shootings took place
 - So America's gun problem may instead indict certain kinds of pharmaceutical drugs (whose makers are notorious convicted serial felons; for fraud, evidence-fabrication, and even more serious crimes); and perhaps alienation from soulless culture
 - Consumerism, attention-deficit entertainment and the decline of community connections likely play a role
 - They deter government tyranny; bluntly, slaves never own guns
 - Throughout Irish history and until quite recently, arms were legal
 - We can already harm one another spontaneously if we so desire
 - We can throw bricks at heads, use kitchen knives and drills
 - But this does not mean we should outlaw bricks and drills

- Why should the same logic not apply to guns?
 - This is less of a priority for Ireland today, but worth reflecting on
- This list of Natural Liberties would be constitutional amendments to be voted upon
 - It would likely be wisest to stage them as a dozen simultaneous referenda

4) Yes to strengthening ties with nations, organizations and individuals who respect liberty

- Humans are most powerful in groups, so the liberty-minded must unite together
 - From Milei's Argentina, to the autonomy-loving Swiss cantons, to even the micronation of Liberland, anyone anywhere seeking to preserve and elevate individualism and liberty should be greeted with warmth and fellowship
 - We need not join any formal arrangements, but by reaching out in hopes of empowering our shared interests in human dignity, we can legitimise a path which is as yet still uncommon in world politics, and raise awareness among the politicians and publics in the ailing democracies around the world that there is another way being tried; and that they will have friends by following
 - In the new age of digital media, prominent podcasters famed for long-form interviews and taboo-bulldozing questions allow us to bypass the control of corporate censors and connect to audiences globally; Ireland in general and our movement in particular can seek such connections to share our message

5) Yes to encouraging the formation and growth of families

- Family is our foundation, and as family life is under so much pressure in our time, we must take active steps to make life easy, comfortable and joyful for families
- Birth rates globally are in freefall, including in Ireland; we must quickly reverse this
 - Population collapse threatens even the survivors with a bleak life; as seen in such places as Detroit, services collapse, crime erupts and despair sets in
 - Human doom spells an end to our scientific, artistic and spiritual flowering
 - We are flawed but worthy of life; Mother Nature's eyes and ears
 - One solution is to provide women with tax incentives for having children
 - Hungary has reduced income tax by 25% for each child, up to 100%, and has seen an increase in both births and female employment
 - Education campaigns can also bear fruit
 - Counterbalance the propaganda of climate alarmists: explain we are not doomed, not a plague on nature, children are a good thing
 - Have teenagers discuss and debate long-term benefits of having children versus not; have them imagine themselves in middle-age
 - Midwifery must be freed from red tape, so birth is more joyous and sacred
- Abortion is understandable in such rare cases as rape or a danger to one's health, but it is nonetheless the ending of a dear dream that could have been experienced, so must be taken very seriously – we must not be glib, casual or normalised to this
 - One's choice to have sex bears consequences and responsibilities; if a child is conceived, the mother must make a heavy choice between life and death
 - At some point, it is unjustifiable to kill the growing human within a womb; some argue that this is always, others that it is once the child could survive if removed from the womb, others that it is once the soul incarnates into the body, others that it is the quickening, and others that it is the first heartbeat
 - Being a deeply divisive issue, and as we have countless lethal crises we must rally together to address, Gaelic Awakening takes a middle-ground position: we call for voluntary minimisation of abortion, but prohibition only against

terminations after twelve weeks, as is the current law; we remain open to the idea that abortion should only ever be in extreme cases, but for the children that already live on Ireland's soil, we must unite to confront other enemies

- Divorce may be wise when two people grow too far apart for harmony as one, but it often takes a terrible toll on the children, so we should strive to avoid it
 - This does not mean returning to the old law of making divorce inaccessible; but it may mean requiring more steps be taken before this final severance, such as therapy, community intervention, or even some form of state aid
 - Divorce rates will very likely fall if our policies of justice and liberty prevail; and with the deep solutions of our Principles of Purpose, this can be healed
- A great deal of modern lifestyle norms are in fact literally toxic, and regulators thereof are in many cases suffering from industry capture; addressing these will bring an increase in fertility, birth rates, and the health of our children and families

6) Yes to reviving Gaeilge, our island's worthy traditions and the consciousness of the poets

- Ireland has the ability and a growing willingness to revive Gaeilge; as we should!
 - The Irish language is ancestral music; it has a tone, rhythm and melody all its own, such as resonate with us due to our memory and heritage; if music heals, how much more healing it will be with Gaeilge truly reborn
 - Reviving Gaelic will be a globally inspiring post-colonial success story
 - Multilingualism boosts test scores and likely boosts cognitive health; for the brain is simply being exercised more, and forming more pathways
 - With the language spoken by a healthy percentage of Ireland, people in the Gaeltacht will likely feel a deep relief, a sense of tragedy being lifted
 - The rebirth of Irish will heal a cultural and psychological divide within Ireland, replacing shame and grief with pride, gratitude and confidence
 - Being able to swap into a language no-one else on earth knows is useful for Irish travellers abroad, whenever subtle communication is needed
 - A rebirth of Gaeilge will likely inspire other Celtic languages to thrive
 - More Gaelic being spoken is likely to be a boon to the tourism sector
 - Our students will be able to connect to a deeper store of knowledge
 - Our love of music and poetry will be able to feast upon richer meals
- Even 20% of the country speaking significant Irish daily would be a great success
 - This amount would be enough that the language would grow over time
 - Learning would thus be more worthwhile, with Irish present in daily life
 - Those who want nothing to do with Gaeilge (a minority) will still be able to converse in English, but over time their animosity is likely to relax, as a great deal of this is borne of resentment of learning a 'dead language' and old notions from Famine times of Gaelic being backward or poor
 - English is also our language now, and we use it in our own special way; however, our native tongue used and developed over millennia is deeper
- As cultures around the world are discovering, the age of modernity is much like a tree whose roots are no longer deep enough to hold up its mass; we must revive an appreciation for and awareness of the worthiest elements of our ancestral ways
 - This includes language, history, mythology, folklore, music, dancing, etc.
 - High up this list is restoring a physical connection to our island home by understanding its variety and seasons, flora and fauna, needs and gifts
 - Above all we must strive to revive and develop even further the lost lore and consciousness of our druids and poets; a deep wisdom we need now

- 7) Yes to letting the Irish be Irish, humans be human and the government mind its business
- We are as we are; let's let nature take her course and trust each other to live freely